

LCAP Meeting Minutes

Meeting #: 4

Date: Tuesday 18th of November

Time: 6-8pm

Location: Vogelmorn Hub

Facilitators: Alex Keeble, Maximillian Scott-Murray

Note Taker: Jamuna Rotstein, Climate Adaptation Lead

1. Attendees

Present: Mark Ormsby, Alanna Puketapu-White, Jack Hitchcox, Gabriela Roque-Worcel, Hannah Clark, Adair Valentine-Robertson, Cliff Law,

Apologies: Tamati Moriarty, Parehinetai Sannyasi

WCC attendees: Kate Turner, Jamuna Rotstein

2. Agenda

1. Karakia and check-in
2. Welcome, introductions, and intentions
3. Project Update
4. Experience and input into the public engagement activities
5. Values to objectives activity
6. Closing round and Karakia

3. Meeting Notes / Discussion

Agenda Item	Discussion Summary	Action Items	Due Date
Check-in	Karakia timatanga. Activity was to reconnect as a group included reflections on: (a) what you've notice about seasonal changes, (b) personal goals and objectives.		
Recap and project update	Brief project update (Alex Keeble) including: ▪ WCC has explored various panel suggestions from hui #3 taking on the “reach deeply” feedback; in general, the team is facing some challenges for reaching diverse and non-engaged communities in the public engagement – Social housing, schools, churches and refugee/migrant groups have been reached out to. For many communities		

it is a hard time to engage now, but they are sharing opportunities through their networks (a lesson for future work). Regarding holding a hui a rohe – the project team has been given advice by Mataaho Arohui (strategic iwi partnerships team) that it would be a big thing to organize and something to work towards in future. In general, as well as being implemented in the pilot, this feedback is being used to shape how we approach this work in future.

- **Improved access to flood maps** – the Wellington Water storymap is being updated based on the advice from the Community Panel. Fast update will be available for the pilot and more comprehensive long-term update also expected.
- **Social media** – There will be targeted social media to those in the pilot area + a flyer drop.
- **Engagement planning** – So far, Houghton Valley Community Hall workshop and fair stall – reached a good range of people, including kids, newer people to the area and residents who have been there a long time. Various engagements planned for the coming weeks throughout the area.
- **Engagement activities** WCC is currently using are designed based on feedback from Community Panel.

Other discussion points:

- Looking forward to hearing from technical specialists.
- Looking forward to reading results from community engagement.

Experience of the public engagement activities

This exercise was for the Community Panel to try out the activities used to engage the wider public to gather input on:

- Community values
- Vulnerabilities
- Ideas for adaptation options

Feedback from Community Panel on these activities below:

1. **Map activity with comment cards:** Big map to capture values & vulnerabilities, or solutions.
 - Input captured on comment cards and stickers on map of where particular climate impacts have been experienced.
2. **Values / objectives voting poster:**

- Activities are design to be short and sharp – good for people with no idea, time-strapped or socially fatigued – succinct. Could there be more pop-ups around the city?
- *Groupings* of values – struggled to separate them (e.g. natural environment / community resilience) – made picking it hard
- *What's missing?* Economics/business is missing
- Where is Library or other community services – which category? Can sit in multiple areas.
- Suggestion for question reframing – which one do you want to see first/ prioritised? – if we do this first, other actions will follow.

3. Tamariki colouring in activity

- Draw dream in 100 years is “hit nail on head”

4. Preparing for Wild Weather Brochure

- Validates people who want information to get prepared – and can help those with anxiety. Well designed, links to key information are good.
- Tactile resource is good.

5. Online survey

- Nil.

Promotion improvement suggestions:

- Add events page on FB for each event
- Add a newsletter
- Develop a toolkit for communities to facilitate

Values to objectives activity

The purpose of the “Values to into objectives activity” was to test two options for processes to turn community values into objectives.

Definitions and processes were clarified, with *values* described as “things” and *optioneering* defined as the process of choosing adaptation options. It was noted that most councils do not involve the community at this stage, which was positively recognized.

The group discussed prioritization and governance challenges and tested the Value-Goal-Objective and Golden Circle (Why, How, What) methods using an example value *Resilient Infrastructure* as a group. After discussion, the group split into pairs to work through the methods with a chosen value, and then shared their experience with the group.

Value-Goal-Objective method:

Project Team to revise the process based on lessons.

To prepare for the next meeting

Step 1 – Value:

- Think of a moment where this value mattered to you personally.
- How would you know this value is being upheld? Can you give an example? this always true or just in some places?
- Does everyone experience this the same way?

Step 2 – Goal:

- What long-term conditions do we want adaptation to support, if we take what we value seriously?
- What is the broad, long-term desired state (Not about anything concrete - think 100 years into the future)

Step 3 - Objective:

- What “criteria” and “assessment considerations” would an “adaptation option” need to meet to align with the Goal?
- Can we write these criteria into whole sentences? Remember: actionable, but not actions (options)

Golden Circle method:

Step 1 – Why:

- Why do you care about this value?
- Why do people you care about care about this value?

Step 2 – How:

- What does it look like to honour this value?
- What behaviours help us stay true to the Why?

Step 3 – What:

- What objective statement would help us assess adaptation options against the Why and the How?

Feedback:

Generally, the second activity (Golden Circle) was preferred than the first (VGO model), though this may have been biased due to the familiarity gained through the first exercise with the VGO model.

Step 1 (Value) of the VGO model worked particularly well and felt natural and tangible, particularly “how would you know if this value were upheld?”. Step 2 of the VGO method (long-term goal statement) was challenging. Though the Golden Circle method generally felt easier, the final step to get to objectives was difficult.

It was important to offer example statements, or statement starters to help stimulate discussion and focus on the types of statements the activity is looking for, such as, for an objective: *“evaluate and prioritise adaptation options based on their ability to maintain/improve/ensure...”*

Discussion around ensuring that objectives could use the information available at the time of assessment (you cannot adapt to unknown unknowns).

Members noted that it will be easier when we’ve got tangible insights from the data collection happening at the moment (through activities listed above).

Closing round and karakia Members shared final reflections; group closed with karakia.

5. Next Steps / Action Items Summary

Action Item	Responsible	Due Date
Revise the values to objectives process based on lessons from this session.	Project team	Next meeting

6. Next Meeting

Date: 20 January 2026

