

Local Climate Adaptation Pilot (LCAP) Meeting Minutes

Meeting #: 8

Date: 16 June 2026

Time: 6.00-8.00pm

Location: Island Bay Presbyterian Church

Facilitator: Max Scott-Murray

Note Taker: Jamuna Rotstein and Amy Prebble

1. Attendees

Present: Adair Valentine-Robertson, Alanna Puketapu-White, Cliff Law, Grant Corbishley, Hannah Clark, Jack Hitchcox, Parehinetai Sannyasi

Apologies: Gabriela Roque-Worcel, Hanita Dinsdale

Wellington City Council (WCC) attendees: Amy Prebble, Jamuna Rotstein

Tonkin + Taylor (T+T): James Hughes, Reuben Ferguson

2. Agenda

1. Opening, introductions and check-in
2. Option assessment process – review of ratings
3. Closing round (whakakapi –reflections)

3. Meeting Notes / Discussion

Agenda Item	Discussion Summary
Introductions	Karakia timatanga and mihi were led by Max. Opening group circle for introductions, including new members and guests.
Optioneering Process	An overview of adaptation priorities and the option assessment process was provided. Options have been initially assessed through a combination of technical assessment by Tonkin + Taylor, a focus group (which included mana whenua and WCC), and a review by a Technical Advisory Group. Many options are drawn from the Climate Adaptation Options Catalogue . Options are assessed against the following criteria: - Effectiveness in reducing risk - Complexity - Adaptability - Environmental impacts - Social and economic impacts - Te ao Māori/cultural impacts - Cost

	A small number of options have been modelled at a high level to assess their effectiveness in reducing risk. No cost-benefit analysis has been undertaken at this stage. Assessments are indicative and are intended to show how options compare against criteria, rather than identify a preferred option.
Options: Flooding	- Some options were considered broad and could depend on the specific location. - The potential value of property-level interventions was noted. - The environmental impact of pump stations on eels, was raised. - Wetlands and public flood detention areas were seen as offering wider community benefits. - Relocation was discussed as having both social and economic trade offs, including potential long-term benefits where risk is reduced.
Options: Coastal	Some participants were surprised by the potential negative environmental impact of seawalls and recalled previous public discussions about the Island Bay seawall.
Options: Marine Reserve	- Suggested landfill leachate reduction rating to better reflect community benefits. - Restoration of the mauri (life force) of the creek was noted as an important outcome.
Options: Landslides	- Vegetating hills and slopes could be effective at reducing risk over time – eg. effective on greywacke. - Terracing was also considered to have potential environmental benefits.
Options: General	- Participants queried the effectiveness ratings of educational signage and community emergency response. - Restrictions on future development were noted as potentially having positive environmental impact.
Closing round reflections	- Panel members expressed appreciation for the opportunity to contribute and noted the wide range of options under consideration. - The collaborative approach between community, mana whenua and Council was viewed positively. - The importance of focusing on long-term solutions was emphasised. - Opportunities for community involvement in implementation (eg. planting) was highlighted.

5. Next Steps / Action Items Summary

Action Item	Responsible	Due Date
Update assessment ratings based on feedback	Project team/ Tonkin + Taylor	Next hui
Draft final report	Project team	Next hui

6. Next Meeting: 30 June 2026